

LOKPAL OF INDIA
[Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj]
New Delhi

Complaint Nos. : 95/2023
Date : 31st May, 2023.
Coram : **Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty**
Hon'ble Acting Chairperson

Justice Abhilasha Kumari
Judicial Member

Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain
Member

Smt. Archana Ramasundaram
Member

Shri Mahender Singh,
Member.

ORDER

Perused the complaint.

2. This voluminous complaint (1130 pages) is directed against 18 (eighteen) public servants serving in different capacities, in different departments/bodies, such as the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Central Information Commission, SEBI, etc. The main grievance of the complainant is that these public servants have not furnished information sought by the complainant at various points of time, under the Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005. There are references to the "Annual Report Fraud" and other "frauds" in corporate matters, allegations regarding alleged conspiracy in withholding or furnishing information by the public servants concerned, passing of "fraudulent" orders on the applications of the complainant, etc.



3. A perusal of the complaint indicates that the public servants do not appear to be connected with each other. The complainant has also alleged that a fraud is being perpetuated against the people of India as “fraudulent” reports are being placed before the Parliament of India, by the Central Information Commission. Allegations of frauds being committed in audits is also highlighted.

4. It may be relevant to note that the complainant has previously filed at least 200 (two hundred) different complaints on a wide range of topics. These complaint were not filed in the prescribed format, as per rules. However, they have been dealt with and appropriate orders have been passed in almost all of them, on different dates.

5. The present complaint has been filed in the prescribed format. A detailed perusal of the complaint reveals that it is an incoherent and unsystematic complaint, in which a great deal of information appears to have been put together in a disjointed manner, without any relevance.

6. It is not at all clear what the alleged “fraud” is all about, or who is supposed to have “conspired” with whom. The public servants indicated do not appear to have anything to do with each other, or, at least it does not emerge from the complaint. Despite its sheer volume, no thread of continuity runs through the complaint and no specific dates or incidents have been given. The allegations in the complaint are mostly regarding applications filed by the complainant under the RTI Act apart from some unrelated allegations regarding “fraud” and “conspiracy” which are mostly vague, general, incoherent and lacking in clarity.



7. Having gone through the complaint minutely, we do not find any instances or specific details regarding 'corruption' and 'fraud'. The complaint lacks coherence and actionable details and, in our considered view, does not satisfy the requirements of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013.

8. In view of the above, we find no justification to proceed any further in the matter.

9. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.


COURT MASTER,
LOKPAL OF INDIA.

/kv/