

LOKPAL OF INDIA Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II Vasant Kunj New Delhi - 110070

Complaint No(s).

91/2024 (arising out of Dy.No.1642023)

Date

07th May, 2024

Coram

Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar

Chairperson

Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy

Judicial Member

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav

Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra

Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi

Judicial Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar

Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey

Member

ORDER

The complaint is placed before the Full Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.

- 2. Perused the Scrutiny Report.
- 3. The complaint dated 25.02.2023 has been received through the LokpalOnline portal, therefore it is unsigned. The physical copy of the complaint has not been received. The scrutiny report in respect of this complaint points out deficiencies, viz.

Page 1 of 3

la

in Part-C, the complainant has not given any list/particulars of documents relied upon in support of the allegation and no supporting documents except a summary have been uploaded with the complaint. Further, the complainant has not enclosed/uploaded a notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper, whereas only a blank proforma of the affidavit generated in the LokpalOnline portal has been uploaded.

- 4. The complaint was taken up by the Scrutiny Bench and in view of the deficiencies noted therein, the Registry of the Lokpal of India vide dated 20.03.2023 directed the complainant to refile his complaint after making good the deficiencies. As there was no response from the complainant, a reminder vide letter dated 07.06.2023 was also sent to him. However, no communication has been received from the complainant till date.
- 5. We deem it appropriate to condone the remarks made by the Scrutiny Report.
- 6. The complaint is against a Branch Manager of State Bank of India in Barmer District of Rajasthan for alleged harassment of the complainant. The complainant has informed that he is a 'Gadipati' of Hinglaj Mata Temple and many people send money online to contribute to the construction of the said temple. The Complainant has alleged that an amount of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) was deposited in his account on 06.02.2023 and later his account/services were blocked. It appears that the complainant despite showing all the transactions to the bank official, all the services to his account were blocked. Therefore, he has requested to take action against the bank official.
- 7. The Full Bench having perused the complaint and the document attached with the complaint is of the view that the complaint contains various deficiencies and has

Page 2 of 3



no clear allegation of commission of an offence of corruption as defined under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

- 8. In view of the above, we are not inclined to entertain this complaint. Hence, no order needs to be passed in the matter.
- 9. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

(Court Waster)