LOKPAL OF INDIA Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II Vasant Kunj New Delhi - 110070

Complaint No.

81/2024(arising out of Dy.No.732024)

Date

26th April, 2024

Coram

Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar

Chairperson

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra

Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi

Judicial Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar

Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey

Member

<u>ORDER</u>

The complaint is placed before the Full Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.

2. Perused the Scrutiny Report.

- 3. The complaint dated 05.04.2024 has been received through LokpalOnline portal hence it is unsigned and the physical copy of the complaint has not yet been received. The complainant has not enclosed a duly notarized affidavit, instead, he has filled in the details of the affidavit on plain paper. Besides he has not enclosed any particulars/list of documents relied upon by him in respect of his allegation.
- 4. We deem it appropriate to condone the remarks made by the Scrutiny Report.
- 5. The complaint is against an Executive Officer of Nagar Palika, Lalitpur in Uttar Pradesh but has also mentioned multiple public servants. The complainant alleges that the concerned Executive Officer in collusion with two other Revenue Inspectors have included the name of the complainant's father onto their mother's property by using fake documents. As per the complainant's version, this has been done to facilitate unlawful eviction of the complainant and his siblings from their mother's property. He alleges that despite numerous complaints made on the CM helpline, no investigation or any action has been taken on his complaints. The complainant has alleged that as he refused to pay bribes, the investigation was not conducted. Thus, he has requested to take action against the officials involved so as to prevent them from engaging in similar acts of corruption and fraud in the future.
- 6. Having perused the complaint it is found that the public servants against whom the complaint is being made are working in the State Government of Uttar



Pradesh and the complaint is not against a public servant working in connection with the affairs of the Union, within the purview of Section 14 of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013. Therefore, the Lokpal has no jurisdiction and hence, the complaint is being disposed of accordingly. The complainant is at liberty to approach appropriate authority for redressal of his grievance, if he so desires.

(Court Master)