

LOKPAL OF INDIA
Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase- II
Vasant Kunj
New Delhi - 110070

Complaint No. :77/2025 (arising out of Dy.No.1472025)

Date : 11.04.2025

Coram : **Shri Justice A M Khanwilkar**
Chairperson

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Member

Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi
Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar
Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey
Member

ORDER

1. The complaint is placed before the Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.



2. Perused the Scrutiny Report. We are disposed to condone the remarks made by the office in this report and entertain the complaint.
3. The complaint is against (i) Lekhpal, Revenue Board, Lucknow (ii) Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (iii) Tehsildar, Sadar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (iv) Revenue Inspector, Sadar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh (v) Kanungo, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh and (vi) Commissioner of Bareilly Division.
4. It has been alleged that the said Lekhpal, Revenue Board, Lucknow, on the directions / with the help of the other public servants as mentioned in Para 3 supra, i.e. from (ii) to (v), went beyond his jurisdiction, acted illegally by manipulating the measurement and demarcation of agricultural land, misappropriated the demarcation fee and illegally changed the possession without following the legal procedure. The complainant has alleged that when he forwarded this issue to the I.G.R.S. portal of Government of Uttar Pradesh, the said officials misused their position and created a mismatch between the first and second reports. He has further alleged that when he referred the said complaint to the Commissioner, Bareilly, he deliberately acquitted the allegedly accused officials without any valid basis, under a conspiracy.
5. Having perused the complaint, it is found that the complaint is against the public servants working in connection with affairs of the State Government of Uttar Pradesh; and not against public servants working in connection with the affairs of the Union. Therefore, the said public servants are not amenable to the jurisdiction of Lokpal



within the meaning of Section 14 of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013 (Act). Hence, action by the Lokpal of India under the provisions of the Act is not warranted.

6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of. The disposal of this complaint will, however, not preclude the complainant to pursue other appropriate remedies, as may be advised and permissible in law.

Sd/-
(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(Justice Sanjay Yadav)
Member

Sd/-
(Sushil Chandra)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi)
Member

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)
Member

Sd/-
(Ajay Tirkey)
Member


(Court Master)

/ss/