

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase- II**  
**Vasant Kunj**  
**New Delhi - 110070**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Complaint No. : 65 / 2024

Date : 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

**Coram** : **Shri Justice A M Khanwilkar**  
**Chairperson**

**Shri Justice L Narayana Swamy**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Sushil Chandra**  
**Member**

**Shri Pankaj Kumar**  
**Member**

**Shri Ajay Tirkey**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

The complaint is placed before the Full Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.

2. Perused the Scrutiny Report and gone through the records.
3. The complaint is against the Revenue Lekhpal, Deoria, Uttar Pradesh. The complainant has alleged that the Respondent Public Servant (RPS) has not carried out demarcation of his land citing a pending suit by another party in the Civil Court. The complainant has stated that he was allotted a residential patta of survey No.289 in the year 2016. He has alleged that his neighbour holding survey No.290 does not allow him to construct his residential house and asserts that the land belongs to him. The complainant has further stated that he had made an online complaint regarding the



aforesaid matter in Tehsil Bhatpar Rani and had requested the DC, Deoria, for demarcation of his land. However, the Revenue Lekhpal has not yet done the demarcation, citing the reason that the said neighbour has filed a civil suit in connection with Survey No.290 and that action with regard to this matter can only be possible after the disposal of the civil suit. The complainant has also stated that since the last three years no stay order has been granted with regard to the said land. The complainant has alleged that the Revenue Lekhpal has not taken any action on his complaints and on the contrary is conniving with his neighbour and favouring him. The complainant has requested that the matter may be inquired into by any senior official and demarcation of the aforesaid land be undertaken. No list of documents has been attached with the complaint in support of his allegations.

4. The allegation in the complaint is against a public servant of the State of Uttar Pradesh and not against a public servant falling under Section 14(1) of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013. As such, the Lokpal of India has no jurisdiction to entertain this complaint.

5. Accordingly, the complaint stands disposed of, granting liberty to the complainant to approach the appropriate forum, if he so desires.



**(Court Master)**