## LOKPAL OF INDIA Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070

\*\*\*

Complaint No.

: 54/2025 (arising out of Dy.No.992025)

Date

7<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

Coram

Shri Justice A. M. Khanwilkar

Chairperson

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav

Member

Shri Sushil Chandra

Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi

Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar

Member

**Shri Ajay Tirkey** 

Member

## **ORDER**

 This complaint at Dy. No. 992025 has been placed before the Full Bench along with Scrutiny Report dated 19.02.2025. The Scrutiny Report has observed following defects in the complaint.

Page 1 of 4

- 1) The address written in the Part A of the complaint form seems different from the address proof certificate enclosed by the complainant.
- 2) The complainant has not mentioned his occupation/Designation/avocation status in the designated column (instead of it, Na has been written).
- 3) The complainant has not enclosed a notarized affidavit with the complaint. Only an unsigned, non-notarized proforma of affidavit (generated by the Lokpal Online portal) on plaint paper has been uploaded.
- 4) Although the complainant has submitted the complaint on behalf of the corporation, the complainant has not enclosed the certificate of registration/incorporation and the authorization document required under Part B of the complaint form.
- 5) The complainant has neither mentioned the name nor the present designation/status of the public servant against whom complaint is being made.
- 6) The complainant has not mentioned the Post held by the public servant at the time of commission of alleged offence under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and also the period during which the alleged offence was committed.
- 7) The information provided by the complainant under the summary of facts/allegations of corruption is insufficient to determine the nature of the allegations.
- 8) The complainant has not furnished any list/particulars of documents relied upon by him s. No. 12 of Part C of the form, however, some documents have been enclosed with the complaint.
- 9) A signed statement of allegations has not been enclosed by the complainant.
- 10) The complaint has been received through Lokpal Online portal; however, the physical copy of the same has not been received (Due date 07.03.2025). Since the parts of the complaint form are generated by the Lokpal Online portal the same are unsigned.

for

- As per the document attached in the complaint, the same is made against (1) Police Officials of Baikunthpur Police Station; and (2) Stenographer, Satna Collectorate Office.
- 3. According to the attached document, the complainant alleges that the theft was committed in his house and no action has been taken by the police as the accused public servants, along with the thief, were accomplices in the theft. The complainant also alleges that a fake signature of his father has been made.
- 4. The Respondent Public Servants are the State Government employees and are not working with the affairs of the Union. As such, are not amenable to the jurisdiction of the Lokpal of India under Section 14 of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013.
- No fruitful purpose would be served even if time is granted to the complainant to cure the defects.

 Consequently, the complaint is disposed of. The complainant will, however, be at liberty to approach the appropriate authority for redressal of his grievance.

## Sd/-(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar) Chairperson

Sd/-(Justice Sanjay Yadav) Member

Sd/-(Sushil Chandra) Member

Sd/-(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi) Member

Sd/-(Pankaj Kumar) Member

Sd/-(Ajay Tirkey) Member

(Court Master)

IKS/