

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**[Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj]**  
**New Delhi**  
\*\*\*

Complaint Nos. : 4503/2022.  
Date : 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2023.  
**Coram** : **Justice Abhilasha Kumari**  
**Judicial Member**  
  
**Smt. Archana Ramasundaram**  
**Member**  
  
**Shri Mahender Singh,**  
**Member.**

**ORDER**

This complaint is directed against a Sub-Inspector of Delhi Police, OPS and Communication Branch, Shalimar Bagh, Haiderpur, New Delhi, hereinafter referred to as the public servant. The complainant has alleged that the concerned public servant has amassed wealth disproportionate to his known sources of income, including 'benami' property, allegedly accumulated through sexual extortion and exploitation, unethical and corrupt practices, drugs, blackmailing, honey-trapping and misuse of his official post. The complainant has further alleged that the concerned public servant is involved in sexual exploitation and honey-trapping of women and blackmail, by using objectionable photographs, and human trafficking, through an online singing application called 'Starmaker', during the Covid-19 pandemic. It is further alleged

that the wife of the complainant was lured by the public servant, thus ruining his matrimonial life.

2. The above complaint was considered by the Full Bench on 18.01.2023. Since, the allegations made in the complaint were serious in nature, therefore, by the order of that date, the matter was referred to the DSPE/CBI to conduct a Preliminary Inquiry under Section 20(1)(a) read with section 20(2) of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013, and submit the report within a period of 6 (six) weeks from the receipt of that order, i.e. on or before 06.03.2023.

3. After availing of extension of time, the CBI, vide letter dated 04.07.2023, has submitted the Preliminary Inquiry Report. We have perused the Preliminary Inquiry Report and considered its contents.

4. The CBI, after dealing with all the allegations levelled by the complainant, have arrived at certain conclusions. They have cross-examined several witnesses including the ladies allegedly harassed/sexually assaulted, by the concerned public servant. After doing so, it is concluded that none of them have disclosed any harassment/ sexual exploitation on the part of the concerned public servant. Moreover, most of the names and phone numbers of the allegedly harassed women, as given by the complainant, belong to the family members of the concerned public servant, including his real sisters and his daughter. It is mentioned in the Preliminary Inquiry Report that during investigation it was found that the phone numbers of these ladies mentioned in the complaint



were taken from the Call Details Records (CDRs) of the concerned public servant, from his mobile number.

5. It is further brought out by the CBI that regarding the issue of the alleged harassment of the wife of the complainant by the concerned public servant, inquiry has revealed that the wife of the complainant was being harassed/beaten up by the complainant himself and an FIR has also been registered against him. Later, the complainant was released on bail. During investigation, the wife of the complainant has strongly denied any allegation of sexual harassment by the concerned public servant. Regarding the allegation of investing in properties, it is concluded in the report that the addresses of the properties allegedly owned by the concerned public servant, indicate that they are the tower locations reflected in the CDRs and are not owned by him. Thus, none of the allegations regarding harassment, exploitation, amassing huge wealth from the purchase and sale of properties, including having assets disproportionate to his known sources of income and other related issues mentioned in the complaint, have been substantiated in the enquiry.

6. In conclusion, the CBI has opined that, prima-facie, it appears that the reason behind this complaint is a family dispute between the complainant and his wife. It is also observed that the complainant is in the habit of making false complaints to different authorities, which is confirmed by the wife of the complainant and is also evident from other records.

7. However, it is mentioned in the report of the Preliminary Inquiry that the public servant concerned has not intimidated his



department regarding sale/purchase of properties in the name of his wife and himself, and the financial transactions with friends. Insofar as this aspect is concerned, the competent authority in this regard may take appropriate action, as per law/rules, as deemed fit.

8. For the reasons stated hereinabove, we are of the view that apart from what is stated hereinabove regarding non-disclosure of information, no further action is warranted in the matter at the behest of the Lokpal of India.

9. A copy of this order, along with a copy of the complaint, PIR and other relevant papers, also be sent the Commissioner of Police, Delhi, for information and necessary action, as deemed fit.

10. It is a matter of public concern how the CDR's of the concerned public servant were obtained, presumably illegally, by the complainant. This aspect may be looked into by the Commissioner of Police, as well.

10. The complaint is closed and disposed of, in the above terms.

  
COURT MASTER,  
LOKPAL OF INDIA.