LOKPAL OF INDIA [Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj] New Delhi

Complaint No.

4377/2022

Date

01st March, 2023

Coram

Shri Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty

Acting Chairperson

Smt. Justice Abhilasha Kumari

Judicial Member

Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain

Member

Smt. Archana Ramasundaram

Member

Shri Mahender Singh

Member

Dr. Indrajeet Prasad Gautam

Member

ORDER

Perused the complaint.

- 2. As the complaint sent via an email dated March 23, 2022 was not in the format prescribed under the relevant rules, the complainant was informed by way of an email dated March 23, 2022 to file his complaint as per the Lokpal (Complaint) Rules, 2020. However, the complainant has not done this so far. Despite this, in the interest of justice, it was decided to take up the complaint and decide on the merits of the case.
- 3. From the email it is seen that the complaint has also been sent to the Superintendent of Police, Satara District, Maharashtra and the Hon'ble Lokayukta, Maharashtra State, besides the Lokpal of India. In the email, the complainant has referred to some attachments/links. However, these links are not working.
- 4. The Registry of Lokpal of India, vide letter dated 11th October, 2022, informed the complainant that the links of four (04) attachments/PDF files

that he had attached in his email dated 23.03.2022 are not opening. The complainant was requested to send these four (04) attachments/PDF files once again as simple attached documents (and not as links) within 10 days. As there was no response from the complainant to the letter dated 11th October, 2022, another opportunity, as a last chance, was given to the complainant vide letter dated 24th November, 2022, requesting the complainant send these four (04) attachments/PDF files within 15 days. It is noted that the complainant has not replied to various correspondences made so far by the Registry of the Lokpal of India.

- 5. The Full Bench has gone through the complaint. It is seen that the complainant has requested to take strict action against the former Chief Minister of Maharashtra and the whole administration. However, the complaint is vague and devoid of any evidence. In addition, there is no allegation of corruption levelled in the complaint. Hence, no order is required to be passed in this matter under the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013. The complainant is at liberty to pursue his case with appropriate authorities for redressal of his grievance.
- 6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

