

LOKPAL OF INDIA
(Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj)
New Delhi- 110070

Complaint No. : 252/2024 (arising out of Dy.No.2912024)

Date : 13.12.2024

Coram : **Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar**
Chairperson

Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy
Judicial Member

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi
Judicial Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar
Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey
Member

ORDER

1. Perused the complaint.
2. The following defects are observed by Registry: -



- i. The enclosed affidavit is not notarized. (S. No.13 of Part A of the complaint form).
 - ii. The complainant has not mentioned the name of the public servant against whom complaint is being made and has left the column blank. (S.No.1 of Part C of the complaint form).
 - iii. The complainant has not mentioned the post held by the public servant at the time of commission of alleged offence and has left the column blank. (S.No.8 of Part C of the complaint form).
 - iv. The complainant has not mentioned the period during which the alleged offence was committed and has left the column blank. (S.No.9 of Part C of the complaint form).
 - v. The complainant has not enclosed the signed statement of allegations containing summary of facts/allegations of corruption. (S.No. 10 of Part C of the complaint form)
3. The documents annexed alongwith the complaint reveal that the complaint is directed against the Superintendent, Department of Posts.
4. Complainant has claimed compensation from the Department for the alleged damages caused to the complainant's building which was leased out to the Department. However, there is no iota of allegations against the Public Servant of commission of offence punishable under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.



5. Be it noted that, the complaint against Public Servant under Section 14 of the Act of 2013, is tenable only when there are allegations depicting commission of an offence punishable under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.
6. In the case at hand, as there is no allegation of corrupt practice against the concerned Public Servant, the complaint is not tenable.
7. In view whereof, ignoring the defects, we decline to entertain the complaint. The complainant if so advised is at liberty to avail the remedy as per law.
8. The complaint is disposed of in the above terms.

Sd/-
(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(Justice L Narayana Swamy)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Justice Sanjay Yadav)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Sushil Chandra)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)
Member

Sd/-
(Ajay Tirkey)
Member


(Court Master)

/AKA/