

**Lokpal of India**  
**Plot No.6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area – Phase-II**  
**New Delhi – 110070**

**Complaint No.** :24/2025 (arising out of Dy.No.3092024)

**Date** : 24.01.2025

**Coram** : **Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar**  
**Chairperson**

**Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy**  
**Member**

**Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav**  
**Member**

**Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthy**  
**Member**

**Shri Pankaj Kumar**  
**Member**

**Shri Ajay Tirkey**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

1. The complainant had previously filed two successive complaints, bearing Diary Nos. 2932024 and 3092024. The former was dismissed in terms of conditional order dated 13.12.2024 and the



later vide order dated 03.01.2025, since the defects pointed out in the Scrutiny Report remained uncured.

2. Now, the complainant has sent an email, dated 07.01.2025, including to explain the reason for his inability to cure the defects within time. Before that, however, he filed the present complaint on 19.12.2024. As per the explanation offered by the complainant, he was undergoing treatment for mental health issues caused by cyberbullying incident.

3. Ordinarily, we would have shown indulgence to the complainant by condoning delay in filing the first complaint and rejecting the two complaints filed subsequently for the same cause being successive complaints, which is not permitted. Rather, that is an attempt bordering on filing frivolous and vexatious proceedings.

4. Be that as it may. After having perused all the three complaints, we have no manner of doubt that the grievance of the complainant, is mainly about mishandling him and being victim of racial abuse. Even if these allegations are to be taken note of, the



same do not indicate commission of an offense of corruption within the meaning of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (for short, PC Act 1988).

5. Needless to underscore that the jurisdiction of the Lokpal is limited to inquiring into allegations of corruption within the meaning of PC Act 1988. Accordingly, we hold that these complaints are devoid of merits.
6. In view of the above, we decline to condone the delay or to recall the conditional orders passed in the two complaints previously filed by the complainant; and also dispose of the present complaint, being devoid of merit.
7. The complainant is free to pursue such other remedy, as may be permissible in law.
8. We invite attention of the complainant to Section 46 of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013, which predicates that filing of frivolous or vexatious complaint under this Act, is a punishable offence. As has been noted earlier, filing of successive complaints containing

allegations other than for offence of corruption, will amount to indulging in filing of frivolous and vexatious complaints.

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)**  
**Chairperson**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice L. Narayana Swamy)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice Sanjay Yadav)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthy)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Pankaj Kumar)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Ajay Tirkey)**  
**Member**

  
**(Court Master)**