

Lokpal of India
Plot No.6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area – Phase-II
New Delhi – 110070

Complaint No. : 23/2024
Date : 20th March, 2024
Coram : Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar
Chairperson
Smt. Justice Abhilasha Kumari
Judicial Member
Smt. Archana Ramasundram
Member
Shri Mahender Singh
Member
Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy
Judicial Member
Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Judicial Member
Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

ORDER

The complaint is placed before the Full Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.



2. Perused the Scrutiny Report. We are disposed to condone the remarks made by the office in this report and entertain the complaint.

3. The complainant has made a complaint against the public servant i.e. Tehsildar of Perundurai Taluk Office, Tamil Nadu, who is obviously a State of Tamil Nadu employee. The complaint is hand-written/ not legible.

4. As per one of the documents (Summary of Fact attached with the complaint), the complainant mentions that the owner of the ancestral property (including 2 minor sons share without their permission as per the law) sold the property in Mukasipidariyur, Tamil Nadu. The complainant states that he is the only legal heir for ancestral property. He further states that the public servant has not transferred the name as per the BSO Order in the complainant's name and has committed an illegal work as every Tehsildar has the power to add the name in the Patta.

5. After due consideration, the Full Bench is of the opinion that the allegation posited in the complaint is against an employee of the State of Tamil Nadu and not an officer falling under Section 14(1) [a to h] of the Lokpal and Lokayukas Act, 2013. As such, the Lokpal of India has no jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. However, it is open to the complainant to approach the appropriate Authority/Forum, if he so desires.



6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.



Court Master
Lokpal of India