

Lokpal of India
Plot No.6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area – Phase-II
New Delhi – 110070

Complaint No. : 204/2024 (arising out of Dy. No.2232024)

Date : 04.10.2024

Coram : **Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar**
Chairperson

Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy
Judicial Member

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar
Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey
Member

ORDER

1. Complaint dated 18.09.2024 has been placed before us with Scrutiny Report dated 27.09.2024.



2. The Scrutiny Report amongst other mentioned the defect that -

The complainant has mentioned "not divulged" instead of name of the public servant(s) in Sl. No. 1 of Part C of the complaint form.

3. Upon perusing the complaint and the documents annexed therewith it is observed that Complainant has previously filed a similar complaint, bearing complaint No. 179/2024 dated 24.07.2024 arising out of Diary No. 1792024 against which an order of the Full Bench dated 09.08.2024 was passed. The Full Bench in its said order has disposed of the complaint citing following reasons –

"We have given our thoughtful consideration to the present complaint and the documents on record. The grievance of the petitioner relates to the period beyond seven years during which the alleged offence mentioned in the complaint could have been committed. As such, we are of the considered view that in view of Section 53 of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013, the complaint is barred by time. No fruitful purpose would be served by granting time to the complaint to cure the defects.

Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of."

4. The complainant has plainspoken about the earlier complaint and had further stated that there was some omission in filling up the earlier complaint, hence, he is filing the present complaint. It seems he has made an attempt to improvise his complaint.

5. We have gone through the complaints and we find that the substance of the present complaint is verbatim of his earlier complaint in Complaint No. 179/2024 dated 24.07.2024 arising out of Diary No.1792024. That such being the case, it is not open to us to consider this complaint. Else, it will result in reconsidering or reviewing the earlier order, for which we do not have jurisdiction. Hence, this complaint deserves to be disposed of, in view of the reasons given above.



6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(Justice L Narayana Swamy)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Justice Sanjay Yadav)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Sushil Chandra)
Member

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)
Member

Sd/-
(Ajay Tirkey)
Member


(Court Master)

/AK//