

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**(Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj)**  
**New Delhi- 110070**

\*\*\*

**Complaint No.** :185/2024(arising out Dy. No.1912024)

**Date** : 30.08.2024

**Coram** : **Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar**  
**Chairperson**

**Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Sushil Chandra**  
**Member**

**Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Pankaj Kumar**  
**Member**

**Shri Ajay Tirkey**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

1. Following defect is observed by the Registry:

- (i) Affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper uploaded by the complainant on the LokpalOnline Portal has not been notarized.



2. Complaint is against the officials of Directorate General of Goods and Service Tax Intelligence (DGGI).
3. Allegations are that these officials on 12.04.2024 and 13.04.2024 during commercial survey of the complainant's premises while detaining the complainant for 20 hours, besides confiscating cash, several cheques, laptop, several electronic items, and business records without providing receipts, also took away personal items, including a silver statue and personal mobile phone and have refused to return them despite repeated requests. It is alleged that FIR in respect of above against these officials has also not been registered. The accusation against the officials of DGGI is of theft, robbery and extended harassment.
4. We have carefully gone through the complaint. It is gathered therefrom that the complainant's commercial premises has been subjected to inspection, search and seizure under Section 67 of Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017. Though there are allegations of theft, robbery and harassment against the named Public Servants; however, there is no allegation of corruption against any of these named Public Servants as would enable the complainant to invoke our jurisdiction under Chapter-VI of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013.



5. In view whereof, we are not inclined to cause any indulgence.
6. Consequently, while ignoring the defect pointed out by the Registry, the complaint is finally disposed of.
7. Needless to say the complainant is at liberty to seek redressal of his grievance under appropriate statute.

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)**  
**Chairperson**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice L. Narayana Swamy)**  
**Judicial Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice Sanjay Yadav)**  
**Judicial Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Sushil Chandra)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi)**  
**Judicial Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Pankaj Kumar)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Ajay Tirkey)**  
**Member**

/AKA/

*long*  
**(Court Master)**