LOKPAL OF INDIA
Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II
Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070
***

Complaint No.	:	179/2024 (arising out of Dy.No.1792024)
Date	:	09.08.2024.
Coram	:	**Shri Justice A. M. Khanwilkar** **Chairperson** **Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy** **Judicial Member** **Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav** **Judicial Member** **Shri Sushil Chandra** **Member** **Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi** **Judicial Member** **Shri Pankaj Kumar** **Member** **Shri Ajay Tirkey** **Member**

ORDER

1. The complaint dated 01.07.2024 has been placed before us with Scrutiny Report dated 03.07.2024.

2. The Scrutiny Report amongst others mentions the following defects that:

a. The complainant has not enclosed an address proof with the complaint.

b. The complaint has not enclosed a duly signed notarised affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper with the complaint stating that "Not attached because of the deletion option given in Part A, moreover, I have been given an affidavit as an appellant in the Curative Petition No.51 of 2009." The complaint form is not signed.

c. The complainant has not attached any letter addressed to the Lokpal of India.

d. The complainant has not enclosed a duly filled up form of 'Part C' with the complaint stating the reason that "not applicable because complaint is not against a public servant but against a system".

3. We have perused the complaint and the documents annexed therewith.

4. It cannot be ascertained from the complaint as to against whom the complaint has been made. The complainant has not filed the complaint in Part-C of the prescribed complaint format. From the perusal of the documents annexed with the complaint, it appears that the complainant who is a retired senior Govt. officer along with his brother had taken an agricultural loan from State Bank of India for developing wastelands, however, the bank failed to

release the funds in time, as per the agreement, resulting in dying of many trees and loss to the complainant. The complainant's attempt to get compensation for his losses before the Consumer Redressal Courts and before the Supreme Court of India was unsuccessful. The complainant mentions that he could not get the compensation because of the false information submitted by the SBI. The complainant requests for urgent attention to his long pending grievance of injustice caused to him and for review of curative petition before the Supreme Court. From the documents annexed with the complaint, it cannot be ascertained as to what relief has been claimed by the complainant in the present complaint.

5. We have given our thoughtful consideration to the present complaint and the documents on record. The grievance of the petitioner relates to the period beyond seven years during which the alleged offence mentioned in the complaint could have been committed. As such, we are of the considered view that in view of Section 53 of the Lokpal & Lokayuktas Act, 2013, the complaint is barred by time. No fruitful purpose would be served by granting time to the complainant to cure the defects.

6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(Justice L Narayana Swamy)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Justice Sanjay Yadav)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Sushil Chandra)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)
Member

Sd/-
(Ajay Tirkey)
Member

(Court Master)

/RK/