

LOKPAL OF INDIA
[Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj]
New Delhi

Complaint Nos. : 161/2023
Date : 29th August, 2023.
Coram : **Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty**
Hon'ble Acting Chairperson

Justice Abhilasha Kumari
Judicial Member

Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain
Member

Smt. Archana Ramasundaram
Member

Shri Mahender Singh,
Member.

ORDER

Perused the complaint.

2. This voluminous complaint running into 631 pages has been filed by the complainant, who has already filed approximately 200 plus complaints, on various subjects, against different public servants.

3. The present complaint is directed against 15 (fifteen) public servants serving in different Departments/Bodies of the Government of India.

4. The gist of the allegations made in the complaint, *inter alia*, is that the public servants concerned did not place the annual reports of their Ministries/organizations before the Parliament, thereby not presenting a true and factual picture, passing vitiated and corrupt orders and giving undue advantage to certain persons, conspiracy between Secretaries/auditors, CAG, financial



statement fraud at NERAMAC AGM, failure to have adequate internal control to ensure that financial statements are reliable and not referring certain matters relating to Videocon, Unitech and Coal India to the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, dereliction of duty, etc.

5. A careful perusal of this complaint reveals that it is repetitive in nature, apart from being vague and disjointed. The fifteen public servants named in the complaint have no connection to each other and none has emerged from the complaint. The complaint consists of a litany of allegations, without any material or substance to substantiate them. There does not appear to be any relevance or inter-connectedness in the complaint. It is, as though, fifteen complaints have been clubbed together to form one complaint. Apart from vague and general allegations, there is no actionable material on record. It may further be noted that no act of corruption has been alleged against the concerned public servants by the complainant, so as to justify further action or intervention by the Lokpal of India.

6. As mentioned earlier, the complainant had filed approximately 200 and more complaints on various subjects/issues, against different public servants. Some of the issues raised in the present complaint also find mention in those complaints. Those complaints have been disposed of, by passing appropriate orders, at different points of time.

7. On consideration of the allegations made in the present complaint as emerging from the material on record, it is clear that there is no nexus between the different public servants named therein or the allegations levelled against them. It appears as though the complainant has taken it upon himself to inundate the



Lokpal of India with multiple complaints, so as to exert pressure to pass orders. However, the complainant may take note of the fact that the filing of such type of similar, successive and multiple complaints is not appreciated, especially as the complaints do not reveal any act or details of corruption by any of the public servant(s) named. The processing, perusal and consideration of multiple complaints, especially a complaint such as the present one, even after all the earlier complaints on similar issues have been disposed of, consumes a great amount of time of the Lokpal of India, that can be utilised for other fruitful purposes.

8. We are, therefore, constrained to observe that multiple and repetitive complaints of this nature, if continued to be filed, may invite adverse orders against the complainant in future

9. With the above observations, the complaint is dismissed.


COURT MASTER,
LOKPAL OF INDIA.