

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase- II**  
**Vasant Kunj**  
**New Delhi - 110070**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Complaint No. : 158/2023

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2023

**Coram** : **Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty**  
**Acting Chairperson**

**Justice Abhilasha Kumari**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain**  
**Member**

**Smt. Archana Ramasundaram**  
**Member**

**Shri Mahender Singh**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

The complaint is against the Director, Ayurveda Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh. From the perusal of the complaint and the documents enclosed, it appears that the complainant was engaged as a Laboratory Assistant on daily wages basis in the Department of Pharmacy in Swami Kalyan Dev Government Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Rampur, Muzaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh vide an Order dated 1.11.1991. As his services were to be terminated based on the Orders dated 18.06.1992 and 30.06.1992 issued by the Director, Ayurvedic and Unani Services, Uttar Pradesh, the complainant appears to have filed a writ petition No.34870 of 1992 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. In the said writ petition, an interim order dated 16.09.1994 was passed by the Hon'ble Court allowing the complainant to work in the said post and he continued to work.

2. Later, the complainant has alleged, his services were terminated by an erroneous order dated 09.02.1998 issued by the Director, Ayurvedic and

*Ag*

Unani Services, Uttar Pradesh, against which he filed another writ petition No. 9906 of 1998 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. An interim order was passed by the Hon'ble Court on 6.10.1999 vide which the said Order dated 09.02.1998 terminating the services of the complainant was stayed.

3. Further, the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, vide an order dated 22.02.2017 disposed of the writ petition, directing the 'Respondent No.2' (whose identity is not clear from the enclosed copy of the Order) to look into the claim of the petitioner (i.e. the complainant in the present complaint) sympathetically, considering his long-standing work. The complainant has enclosed copies of his communication sent to various authorities requesting them to implement the order dated 22.02.2017 of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

4. We have perused the complaint along with the enclosures. The complaint is made against an official working under the State Government of Uttar Pradesh and does not appear to fall under the purview of the Lokpal. Moreover, the complaint pertains to a service matter for which the complainant has already approached the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. As Orders have been passed by the Hon'ble Court in the matter, we are not inclined to intervene.

5. Accordingly, the complaint stands disposed of. The complainant is at liberty to approach the authorities concerned / Court for redressal of his grievance.

  
COURT MASTER  
LOKPAL OF INDIA