

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase- II**  
**Vasant Kunj**  
**New Delhi - 110070**  
\*\*\*\*\*

Complaint No. : 132 / 2024  
(arising out of Diary No.1282024)

Date : 07.06.2024

**Coram** : **Shri Justice A M Khanwilkar**  
**Chairperson**

**Shri Justice L Narayana Swamy**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Sushil Chandra**  
**Member**

**Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Pankaj Kumar**  
**Member**

**Shri Ajay Tirkey**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

The complaint is placed before the Full Bench for consideration of the Scrutiny Report and to pass appropriate order.



2. Perused the Scrutiny Report. The following defects have been observed in the Scrutiny Report:

- i) The complainant has not mentioned the name of the public servant against whom the complaint is being made.
- ii) The complainant has not mentioned the present designation of the public servant against whom the complaint is being made.
- iii) The post held by the public servant at the time of commission of alleged offence has not been given.
- iv) The complainant has not given any particulars/list of documents relied upon, nor any such copies are enclosed.
- v) The complainant has not enclosed a duly signed notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper.
- vi) The complaint form is unsigned. The complaint has been received through LokpalOnline portal. The physical copy of the complaint has not yet been received.

We are disposed to condone the remarks made by the office in this report and entertain the complaint.

3. The complainant has attached a letter addressed to the Lokpal of India wherein he has stated about a situation where he has a land in Rajasthan adjacent to a Government approved road. The complainant has mentioned that a farm owner has constructed an illegal 'Computerized Kaanta' and



elevated his land, causing rainwater to overflow onto the Government approved road and also his land, damaging his crops. The complainant has alleged that despite multiple complaints and discussions with local authorities, no action has been taken. The complainant seeks legal action against the farm owner to address this matter. Even on a close reading of the complaint it is not possible to take a view that the allegations have been made against any public servant within the meaning of Section 14 of the Act, namely, of working with the affairs of the Union.

4. Hence, action by the Lokpal of India under the provisions of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013 is not warranted.

5. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of.

  
**(Court Master)**