

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II**  
**Vasant Kunj**  
**New Delhi - 110070**

\*\*\*

Complaint No(s). : 132/2023

Date : 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.

**Coram** : **Shri Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty**  
**Acting Chairperson**

**Smt. Justice Abhilasha Kumari**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain**  
**Member**

**Smt. Archana Ramasundaram**  
**Member**

**Shri Mahender Singh**  
**Member**

**ORDER**

Perused the complaint.

2. The complaint dated 11.04.2023 has been filed by the complainant through 'lokpalonline'. Although certain deficiencies were noted in the complaint, it was decided to condone these and take a decision on the complaint based upon the details made available and on the merits of the case.
3. From the documents attached with the complaint, it appears that the complainant is an owner of a property in Delhi. He has alleged some misdoings by some individuals who, in his view, are involved in the operation of a shell company and are in violation of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA).



4. The complainant has attached several documents with his complaint but the relevance of these is not clear. The documents attached include the following:-

- (1) Death Certificate of his brother who appears to be a co-owner of the said property.
- (2) A letter addressed to Hon'ble Lokayukta, GNCT of Delhi requesting for transfer of his application to the Lokpal of India. From this letter addressed to Hon'ble Lokayukta, GNCT of Delhi it appears that there is already some dispute going on in this matter which is before the Hon'ble Court/s. However, it is very difficult to find out the relevance of this allegation to the complaint.
- (3) A copy of the Valuation Report of the site with some photographs of the entrance to the site, the adjoining park, the entrance gate, etc.
- (4) Then, there are some letters addressed to the Chief Vigilance Office of Enforcement Directorate alleging violations of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act by some individuals.

5. The complaint as well as the documents attached with the complaint do not give a clear picture of the grievance of the complainant. The complaint is vague and incoherent, lacks consistency and clarity. Moreover, there is no clear allegation of corruption in the complaint. For these reasons, the Full Bench decided that no Order is necessary. The complainant may continue to pursue his grievance with appropriate authorities.



6. With these observations, the complaint is disposed of.

  
Court Master  
Lokpal of India

/RR/