

166

LOKPAL OF INDIA
Plot No.6, Institutional Area, Phase-II
Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070

Complaint No. : 117/2024 (arising out of Dy.No.1042024)

Date : 17.05.2024.

Coram : **Shri Justice A. M. Khanwilkar**
Chairperson

Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy
Judicial Member

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi
Judicial Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey
Member

ORDER

1. The complaint dated 20.02.2024 has been placed before us with Scrutiny Report dated 13.05.2024.
2. The Scrutiny Report amongst others mentions that:



- a. The complainant has not enclosed Part-A of the complaint form. Although the complainant has enclosed a duly notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper, he has appended his signature at only one place and has left the other place for signature blank. The dates for affirmation and verification have also been left blank in the said affidavit.
 - b. The complainant has not enclosed Part-B of the complaint form, However, from the affidavit, it appears that the complaint is on behalf of a body/firm.
 - c. The complainant has not enclosed Part-C of the complaint form. However, from the letter addressed to Lokpal, it appears that it is an appeal against the rejection of the complainant's complaint by the Lokayukta, Karnataka.
3. We have perused the complaint along with the scrutiny report. We condone the objections made in the scrutiny report and proceed with the matter.
 4. The record reveals that the complainant's grievance is regarding rejection of its tender on flimsy grounds by the Government Tool Room and Training Centre (GTTC), Bengaluru (a joint venture of Govt. of Karnataka and DANIDA, Government of Denmark). It is alleged that the GTTC has given orders worth crores to a single firm due to favouritism. The complainant had approached the MD, GTTC against rejection of his tender and, thereafter, had filed a complaint before the Lokayukta, Karnataka which was disposed of vide Order dated 06.03.2023. Now, the complainant



has filed the present complaint /appeal against the rejection of his complaint by the Lokayukta, Karnataka.

5. It is to be noted that the complaint has been made against an entity viz. GTTC, Bengaluru which is a joint venture of the State Government of Karnataka and the Government of Denmark. Further, Lokpal of India is not a forum for filing appeal against the orders of Lokayukta, Karnataka. The present complaint/appeal does not fall under the purview of the Lokpal of India as per the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013.
6. Accordingly, the complaint is disposed of. It is needless to mention that the complainant is at liberty to approach appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.


(Court Master)