

**LOKPAL OF INDIA**  
**[Plot No. 6, Institutional Area, Phase-II, Vasant Kunj]**  
**New Delhi**

\*\*\*

Complaint Nos. : 116/2023

Date : 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2023.

**Coram** : **Justice Pradip Kumar Mohanty**  
**Hon'ble Acting Chairperson**

**Justice Abhilasha Kumari**  
**Judicial Member**

**Shri Dinesh Kumar Jain**  
**Member**

**Smt. Archana Ramasundaram**  
**Member**

**Shri Mahender Singh,**  
**Member.**

**ORDER**

Perused the complaint.

2. This complaint is directed against two public servants, namely the Chief Vigilance Officer (CVO) and the Director of Vigilance (DoV) of the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) who are named in the complaint, as well as "other erring officials/persons", who allegedly acted in connivance with each other and with the beneficiary, such as officers of the MCD/erstwhile South Delhi Municipal corporation (SDMC), for their personal gain and pecuniary benefit by keeping pending the "Self Contained Note" forwarded to the CVO/MCD erstwhile SDMC. The letter number vide which the said note was forwarded has also been mentioned.

3. It appears that the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) is already seized of the matter as, in paragraph 10 of Part C of the Complaint



Format, the complainant has stated that the available details are already with the Vigilance Department and the CBI. A letter dated 27.09.2021 of the CBI, addressed to the complainant in this regard, is annexed as Annexure AA to the complaint. From the same, it appears that the CBI had already received complaints from the complainant in this regard. The complainant has been informed that the Self Contained Note dated 28.9.2017 has been forwarded to the CVO, SDMC, who may be contacted by the complainant for further details.

4. After a perusal of the complaint and accompanying documents, it is clear that the complaint lacks material particulars, is vague and devoid of any relevant details. Besides, the CBI appears to have already looked into the matter.

5. In the above facts and circumstances, the Lokpal of India is not inclined to take any further action regarding the complaint which, per se, does not satisfy the requirements of the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 2013.

6. Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the complaint stands disposed of.



COURT MASTER,  
LOKPAL OF INDIA.

/kv/