

Lokpal of India
Plot No.6, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area – Phase-II
New Delhi – 110070

Diary Nos. : 1982024, 1992024 and 2002024

Date : 06.09.2024

Coram : **Shri Justice A.M. Khanwilkar**
Chairperson

Shri Justice L. Narayana Swamy
Judicial Member

Shri Justice Sanjay Yadav
Judicial Member

Shri Sushil Chandra
Member

Shri Justice Ritu Raj Awasthy
Judicial Member

Shri Pankaj Kumar
Member

Shri Ajay Tirkey
Member

ORDER

DIARY NO: 1982024

1. The complaint dated 21.08.2024 is against the high officials (named in the complaint) of the Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL).



2. The Registry of the Lokpal has noted the following defects, in its Scrutiny Report dated 02.09.2024:
 - a. *“The complainant has not enclosed a duly signed notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper.*
 - b. *The complainant has not enclosed an address proof.*
 - c. *The Statement of allegations enclosed by the complainant has not been signed.*
 - d. *Although the complainant has enclosed certain documents with the complaint, he has not provided any particulars/list of documents relied upon by him. Therefore, it cannot be ascertained whether such documents have been enclosed (S. No. 12 and 14 of part c of the complaint form).”*
3. The Complainant is granted two weeks time to cure the stated defects.
4. The Complainant be informed, accordingly.
5. In case, the defects are not cured by the Complainant within the time granted, in terms of this order, the Registry may treat this order as a conditional order, disposing of the complaint being defective without reference to the Bench.
6. If defects are cured in time, the case be listed on 01.10.2024.



DIARY NO: 1992024

7. This is yet another complaint dated 21.08.2024 presumably filed by the same Complainant, against the high officials (named in the complaint) of the Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL) founded on same allegations.
8. In this complaint the Registry of the Lokpal has noted the following defects, in its Scrutiny Report dated 02.09.2024:
- a. *“The complainant has not enclosed a duly signed notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper.*
 - b. *The complainant has not enclosed an address proof.*
 - c. *The Statement of allegations enclosed by the complainant has not been signed.*
 - d. *Although the complainant has enclosed certain documents with the complaint, he has not provided any particulars/list of documents relied upon by him. Therefore, it cannot be ascertained whether such documents have been enclosed (S. No. 12 and 14 of part c of the complaint form).”*
9. The Complainant is granted two weeks time to cure the stated defects.
10. The Complainant be informed, accordingly.



11. In case, the defects are not cured by the Complainant within the time granted, in terms of this order, the Registry may treat this order as a conditional order, disposing of the complaint being defective without reference to the Bench.
12. If defects are cured in time, the case be listed on 01.10.2024.

DIARY NO: 2002024

13. This is yet another complaint dated 21.08.2024 presumably filed by the same Complainant against the high officials (named in the complaint) of the Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL) founded on same allegations.
14. The complaint dated 21.08.2024 is against the high officials (named in the complaint) of the Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL).
15. The Complainant (claims to be an employee of SAIL) has, inter alia, alleged that TMT Bars have been supplied to firms at prices applicable for 'Projects', thus causing huge losses to the SAIL.
16. We are given to understand that this very Complainant, until filing of this complaint has filed atleast ten other complaints against the

officials (of SAIL) albeit in different combinations and naming different companies/firms allegedly being favoured, wherein, prima facie, the allegations appear to be similar.

17. The Registry of the Lokpal has noted the following defects, in its Scrutiny Report dated 02.09.2024:

- a. *"The complainant has not enclosed a duly signed notarized affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper.*
- b. *The complainant has not enclosed an address proof.*
- c. *The complainant has not enclosed a signed statement of allegations.*
- d. *Although the complainant has enclosed certain documents with the complaint, he has not provided any particulars/list of documents relied upon by him. Therefore, it cannot be ascertained whether such documents have been enclosed (S. No. 12 and 14 of part c of the complaint form)."*

18. The Complainant is granted two weeks time to cure the stated defects.

19. The Complainant be informed, accordingly.

20. In case, the defects are not cured by the Complainant within the time granted, in terms of this order, the Registry may treat this order as a



conditional order, disposing of the complaint being defective without reference to the Bench.

21. If defects are cured in time, the case be listed on 01.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Justice A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chairperson

Sd/-
(Justice L Narayana Swamy)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Justice Sanjay Yadav)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Sushil Chandra)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi)
Judicial Member

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)
Member

Sd/-
(Ajay Tirkey)
Member


(Court Master)

/SN/